

Mid-ter-evaluation results

Aspect	Av.	Strenghts of current arrangement:	Points to be improved:
task distribution	4,86	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - good cordination contact between partners is very good, e-mails are answered immediately, - Very equilibrated - Task distribution is very efficient. - Equitably between partners - it is ok 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is quite much byrocracy and sometimes it's a chaallenge to know what to do.
dissemination	4,29	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - good dissemination through internet as web-site, face-books, links,... - The plan of dissemination and report diseminnaion prepared by coordinator very useful instrument. We succeeded to reach new audience that we did not include at the beginning in the plan - Dissemination via webpage and facebook works well. - Sometimes difficult to understand - working ok 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It's a challenge to wake media's interest.
work of coordinator	4,71	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - prompt answers, excellent support, very well prepared, very correct and polite - very good - Wor of coordinator is very punctual and trustworthy. - Working as a project coordinator can be a very stressful, but . I am very satisfied with his work. She was very accurate and to keep timetables. - coordinator is very efficient 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Il have no recommendations to the coordinator as we receive the necessary guidance on time and very well explained - Sometimes it's a challenge to know what to do. For partner who hasn't been in EU projects the byrocratic part is heaavy.
communication among partners	4,14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - good atmosphere, polite, treated fairly, good contact between partners - Commusication works well in principle and the feeling among partners is warm - Very good komunikatio opportunities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - did receive info for FB page only from coordinator and two partners. We promote the FB page to our contact and network didn't see the same from the partners according with the number of people engage in support page (follow, like etc) - All partners strive to meet the deadline for submission of information - There is not much contact between meetings - The timetables for the project have not been very clear. - very little communications amongst partners between meetings - only communications is between coordinator and partners
exchanged ideas	4,00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - training in Linz was the only moment of exchainging idea - Average - Had the oppportunity to exchange ideas with colleagues from different countries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Perhaps the partners have to be more courageous to present their best practice and share them with other partners - Could be better - It would be good to gather examples from all the partner libraries.
improvement of knowled	4,00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Satisfactory - Training in Austria was very rewarding and useful 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - meetings are very important to improve the knowledge and how to work with target groupe in future, mutually counselling is very important for each partner - more exchange of knowledge of what partners intend to do